

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/628,259	HWU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JOHN PAK	1616	

All Participants:

(1) JOHN PAK.

(2) Y. ROCKY TSAO.

Date of Interview: 7 February 2008

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 2/4/2008 and 2/7/2008, Mr. Tsao authorized an Examiner's Amendment, as set forth in the attached Office action. Additionally, Mr. Tsao stated that applicant agrees with and accepts the claim interpretation that ingredients (B) and (C) are different compounds or substances, i.e. (B) and (C) are not the same.